

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/623,427	11/22/2000	David Charles C. Hinde	PM271885	5030
75	90 02/17/2004		EXAMINER	
Pillsbury Winthrop LLP			SERGENT, RABON A	
1600 Tysons Boulevard Mclean, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Welcuii, VII 2			1711	-
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/623,427	HINDE ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rabon Sergent	1711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	·				
THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE					
The REPLY FILED to December 2003 FAILS TO FLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a Final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic ) a timely filed amendment whic	th places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	of extension and the corresponding arms  f the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the ma  CFR 1.704(b).	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension of originally set in the final Office action; or alling date of the final rejection, even if			
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>10 July 2003</u>. App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>	ellant's Brief must be filed withir R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal (	n the period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	•				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>50-55</u> .		. •			
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23,25,30-45,47 and 48</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10. Other:	·*				
		Rabon Sergert Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1711			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2.: Firstly, newly proposed claims 56-77, dependent from claim 50, are drawn to a film, whereas claim 50 is drawn to water soluble packaging; therefore, the newly proposed claims are not drawn to the same invention as that of claim 50. Secondly, with respect to the proposed amendment to claim 1, the proposed claim fails to encompass all limitations present within claim 50; therefore, the claim encompasses subject matter that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5: The response is based on amendments that will not be entered for the reasons set forth within item 2 above.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINE